Instructions: To vote, completely fill in the oval next to your choice, like this:
Use a blue or black ink pen to mark your ballot. NO RED INK. If you make a mistake, ask for a new ballot.

**United States President**

- **Blankenship, Don**
- **Mohr, William**
- **De La Fuente, Rocque "Rocky"**
- **Richardson, Darcy G.**
- **Janos, James G. "Jesse Ventura"**
- **McKinney, Cynthia**
- **Jorgensen, Jo**
- **Cohen, Jeremy "Spike"**
- **Pierce, Brock**
- **Ballard, Karla**
- **Trump, Donald J.**
- **Pence, Michael R.**
- **Biden, Joseph R. Jr.**
- **Harris, Kamala D.**

- **Constitution Nominee**
- **Alliance Nominee**
- **Green Nominee**
- **Petition Nominee**
- **Republican Nominee**
- **Democratic Nominee**

**Vice President**

- **Constitution Nominee**
- **Alliance Nominee**
- **Green Nominee**
- **Petition Nominee**
- **Republican Nominee**
- **Democratic Nominee**

- **Arkansas Independent Nominee**
- **Republican Nominee**
- **Democratic Nominee**

**Court of Appeals**

- **Shall Tracey Wollenberg be retained as judge of the court of appeals for eight years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

**Third Judicial District Superior Court**

- **Shall Danya R. "Dann" Crosby be retained as judge of the superior court for six years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

**Superior Court**

- **Shall Andrew Guidi be retained as judge of the superior court for six years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

- **Shall Jennifer S. Henderson be retained as judge of the superior court for six years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

**United States Senator**

- **Howe, John Wayne**
- **Sullivan, Dan**
- **Gross, Al**

- **AK Independent Nominee**
- **Republican Nominee**
- **Democratic Nominee**

**United States Representative**

- **Galvin, Alyse S.**
- **Young, Don**

- **Democratic Nominee**
- **Republican Nominee**

**State Senator**

- **von Imhof, Natasha A.**
- **Cacy, Roselynn**

- **Republican Nominee**
- **Democratic Nominee**

**State Representative**

- **Henslee, Katherine J. "Kathy"**
- **Huit, Timothy R.**
- **Tuck, Chris S.**

- **Republican Nominee**
- **AK Independent Nominee**
- **Democratic Nominee**

**Supreme Court**

- **Shall Susan M. "Sue" Carney be retained as justice of the supreme court for ten years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

- **Shall Michael J. Franciosi be retained as justice of the supreme court for four years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

- **Shall Christine L. Reigh be retained as justice of the superior court for six years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

- **Shall Gregory A. Miller be retained as judge of the superior court for six years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

- **Shall Jennifer K. Wells be retained as judge of the superior court for six years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

- **Shall Jonathan A. Woodman be retained as judge of the superior court for six years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

- **Shall Leslie Dickson be retained as judge of the district court for four years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

- **Shall Yvonne Lamoureux be retained as judge of the superior court for six years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

- **Shall Jennifer S. Henderson be retained as judge of the superior court for six years?**
  - **YES**
  - **NO**

**Judge Wollenberg**

**Judge Crosby**

**Judge Guidi**

**Judge Henderson**

**Judge Lamoureux**

**Judge Miller**

**Judge Reigh**

**Judge Wells**

**Judge Woodman**

**Judge Dickson**

**Judge Franciosi**

- **Justice Carney**
  - **Shall Susan M. "Sue" Carney be retained as justice of the supreme court for ten years?**
    - **YES**
    - **NO**
Judge Hanley
Shall J. Patrick Hanley be retained as judge of the district court for four years?

- YES
- NO

Judge Logue
Shall Michael S. Logue be retained as judge of the district court for four years?

- YES
- NO

Judge McCrea
Shall Kari L. McCrea be retained as judge of the district court for four years?

- YES
- NO

Judge Wallace
Shall David R. Wallace be retained as judge of the district court for four years?

- YES
- NO

Judge Washington
Shall Pamela S. Washington be retained as judge of the district court for four years?

- YES
- NO

Ballot Measure No. 1 - 19OGTX
An Act changing the oil and gas production tax for certain fields, units, and nonunitized reservoirs on the North Slope

This act would change the oil and gas production tax for areas of the North Slope where a company produced more than 40,000 barrels of oil per day in the prior year and more than 400 million barrels total. The new areas would be divided up based on "fields, units, and nonunitized reservoirs" that meet the production threshold. The act does not define these terms. For any areas that meet the production threshold, the tax would be the greater of one of two new taxes:

1. One tax would be a tax on the gross value at the point of production of the oil at a rate of 10% when oil is less than $50 per-barrel. This tax would increase to a maximum of 15% when oil is $70 per-barrel or higher. No deductions could take the tax below the 10% to 15% floor.

2. The other tax, termed an "additional tax," would be based on a calculation of a production tax value for the oil that would allow lease expenditure and transportation cost deductions. This tax on production tax value would be calculated based on the difference between the production tax value of the oil and $50. The difference between the two would be multiplied by 15%. The existing per-taxable-barrel credit would not apply. The act uses the term "additional tax" but it does not specify what the new tax is in addition to.

The tax would be calculated for each field, unit, or nonunitized reservoir on a monthly basis. Taxes are currently calculated on an annual basis, with monthly estimated payments. Since these new taxes would only apply to certain areas, a taxpayer would still have to submit annual taxes for the areas where the new taxes do not apply. The act would also make all filings and supporting information relating to the calculation and payment of the new taxes "a matter of public record." The act does not specify the process for disclosure of public records.

Should this initiative become law?

- YES
- NO

Ballot Measure No. 2 - 19AKBE
An Act Replacing the Political Party Primary with an Open Primary System and Ranked-Choice General Election, and Requiring Additional Campaign Finance Disclosures

This act would get rid of the party primary system, and political parties would no longer select their candidates to appear on the general election ballot. Instead, this act would create an open nonpartisan primary where all candidates would appear on one ballot. Candidates could choose to have a political party preference listed next to their name or be listed as “undeclared” or “nonpartisan.” The four candidates with the most votes in the primary election would have their names placed on the general election ballot.

This act would establish ranked-choice voting for the general election. Voters would have the option to “rank” candidates in order of choice. Voters would rank their first choice candidate as “1”, second choice candidate as “2”, and so on. Voters “1” choice would be counted first. If no candidate received a majority after counting the first-ranked votes, then the candidate with the least amount of “1” votes would be removed from counting.

Those ballots that ranked the removed candidate as “1” would then be counted for the voters’ “2” ranked candidate. This process would repeat until one candidate received a majority of the remaining votes. If voters still want to choose only one candidate, they can.

This act would also require additional disclosures for contributions to independent expenditure groups and relating to the sources of contributions. It would also require a disclaimer on paid election communications by independent expenditure groups funded by a majority of out of state money.

Should this initiative become law?

- YES
- NO

Continue Voting on Next Side